

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,163	02/27/2002	Akira Hokazono	220110US2S	7464	
22850	22850 7590 02/13/2004			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			DICKEY, THOMAS L		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2826		
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/083,163	HOKAZONO, AKIRA			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas L Dickey	2826			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspond nc address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	NATHAN FLYNN			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		·			
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or 	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		, ,			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-44.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	(5)(1 10 1770) 1 apel 110(5).	- domblenton			
		Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: On 3/19/03 Applicants received notice that certain subject matter was allowable against art available on that date. On 6/12/03 Applicants amended their claims, effectively canceling the subject matter that had been indicated allowable. Applicants chose instead to pursue patentability on a diff rent course. On 9/4/03 the claims Applicants presented on 6/12/03 were rejected, a final rejection. Applicants now wish to resurrect the subject matter they gave up when they amended their claims on 6/12/03. A lot has happened since 3/19/03. For one thing, U.S. applications applied for after 9/18/01 but before 12/06/01 (applicants' effective filing date) underwent Pre-Grant Publication. Various U.S. patents filed before 12/06/01 issued, having not b en previously published for various reasons. Furthermore, on 3/19/03 only dependent claims 9,10, 20, and 21 were indicated allowable. Most of the subject matter of these claims was disclosed by KADOSH et al. (5,818,069), which anticipated parent claims 1 and 12. Whether the subject matter of original claims 9,10, 20, and 21 is obvious over Kadosh et al. viewed in the light of some hitherto unpublished reference is a close question.

Amended claims 1 and 12, and new claims 45 and 54, present issues not considered on 9/4/03. Applicants had the option of presenting claims 1,12,45, and 54 in their present form prior to the 9/04/03 Final Rejection. Applicants chose not to exercise that option at that time To allow Applicants to exercise that option at this date would present issues that would require burdensome new search and/or consideration.